A randomized controlled trial to isolate the effects of fasting and energy restriction on weight loss and metabolic health in lean adults

Iain Templeman¹, Harry Alex Smith¹, Enhad Chowdhury¹, Yung-Chih Chen¹,², Harriet Carroll¹,³, Drusus Johnson-Bonson¹, Aaron Hengist¹, Rowan Smith¹, Jade Creighton¹,⁴, David Clayton¹, Ian Varley⁴, Leonidas Georgios Karagounis⁵,⁶, Andrew Wilhelmsen⁷, Kostas Tsintzas⁷, Sue Reeves⁸, Jean-Philippe Walhin⁴, Javier Thomas Gonzalez¹, Dylan Thompson¹, James Alexander Betts¹*  

Intermittent fasting may impart metabolic benefits independent of energy balance by initiating fasting-mediated mechanisms. This randomized controlled trial examined 24-hour fasting with 150% energy intake on alternate days for 3 weeks in lean, healthy individuals (n = 12). Control groups involved a matched degree of energy restriction applied continuously without fasting (75% energy intake daily; 75:75; n = 12) or a matched pattern of fasting without net energy restriction (200% energy intake on alternate days; 0:200; n = 12). Primary outcomes were body composition, components of energy balance, and postprandial metabolism. Daily energy restriction (75:75) reduced body mass (−1.91 ± 0.99 kilograms) almost entirely due to fat loss (−1.75 ± 0.79 kilograms). Restricting energy intake via fasting (0:150) also decreased body mass (−1.60 ± 1.06 kilograms; P = 0.46 versus 75:75) but with attenuated reductions in body fat (−0.74 ± 1.32 kilograms; P = 0.01 versus 75:75), whereas fasting without energy restriction (0:200) did not significantly reduce either body mass (−0.52 ± 1.09 kilograms; P ≤ 0.04 versus 75:75 and 0:150) or fat mass (−0.12 ± 0.68 kilograms; P ≤ 0.05 versus 75:75 and 0:150). Postprandial indices of cardiometabolic health and gut hormones, along with the expression of key genes in subcutaneous adipose tissue, were not statistically different between groups (P > 0.05). Alternate-day fasting less effectively reduces body fat mass than a matched degree of daily energy restriction and without evidence of fasting-specific effects on metabolic regulation or cardiovascular health.

INTRODUCTION

Intermittent fasting is an umbrella term for dietary regimens involving temporal restriction of daily feeding patterns, including a fast on some days each week (for example, the “5:2” diet), for part of each day (time-restricted feeding) or for part or all of every second day (modified/complete alternate-day fasting) (1). The apparent popularity of these approaches suggests that many people find adoption and adherence comparatively easy, potentially because we, as a species, are well adapted to erratic food availability as opposed to an abundant food supply and thus permanently postprandial (fed) and lipogenic state throughout waking hours (2, 3). As neatly described previously, all mammals exhibit evolutionarily conserved (albeit somewhat species-specific) adaptive responses to food deprivation (4). Specifically, fasting-mediated mechanisms stimulate lipolysis and ketogenesis to support energy requirements while regulating various cell signaling pathways to efficiently recycle the limited nutrients available (reduced glycolysis and protein synthesis, with increased autophagy) (4–6).

Studies in mice clearly demonstrate that metabolic health can be improved by restricting food availability to certain periods (independent of energy intake or weight loss), although such fasting deviates more profoundly from these animals’ naturally continuous foraging pattern than from the schedule of regular but less frequent meals more typical of human societies (7). Recent reviews of human trials indicate that intermittent fasting can elicit weight loss and health gains but that these effects are generally equivalent to standard energy restriction without restricted feeding times (that is, without fasting), indicating that metabolic effects may be due to weight loss rather than fasting per se (1, 8–10). However, trials to date have generally involved substantial reductions in energy intake but without prescribing complete abstinence from macronutrients throughout “fasting” days and so may not initiate the aforementioned fasting-mediated mechanisms (11). At least 12 to 14 consecutive hours of absolute nutritional withdrawal is required to elicit frank depletion of hepatic glycogen reserves and the consequent transition toward oxidation of endogenous lipid-derived substrates (fatty acids and ketone bodies) (12, 13), which are proposed to increase insulin sensitivity, improve cardiovascular health, and preserve muscle mass during weight loss (4, 14).

On the basis of the above reasoning, it becomes understandable why the small minority of human trials that have reported positive health outcomes peculiar to intermittent fasting (beyond that explained by weight loss) are those same few in which the postabsorptive state has been regularly sustained via uninterrupted fasting of at least 16 hours (1). For example, early time-restricted feeding (fasting from 1500 h daily) in men with prediabetes improved their insulin sensitivity within 5 weeks without losing weight, simply by extending...
their usual overnight fast to 18 hours (15). This effect on insulin sensitivity has since been confirmed in healthy young adults after only 2 weeks of fasting for 16 hours from 1600 h each day (16) and after 8 weeks of simply restricting typical daily meals to an 11-hour period ending at 1900 h (17). The other common form of intermittent fasting that routinely involves such protracted postabsorptive periods is complete alternate-day fasting (no energy intake whatsoever during fasting days), yet almost no research has examined fasting periods that span an entire day. One recent trial has shown that strict ~36-hour fasting periods alternated with ~12-hour ad libitum eating every other day can markedly reduce both fat mass and cardiovascular disease risk markers among nonobese adults within 4 weeks (18). However, that study did not include the continuous energy restriction control group necessary to dissociate the effects of fasting from the net energy deficit, as has been effectively applied previously in demonstrating the absence of independent benefits inherent to modified alternate-day fasting (when limited energy intake is permitted on fasting days) (19, 20).

Given that intermittent fasting is hypothesized to exert independent benefits via fasting-mediated mechanisms, it is remarkable that only one previous human trial has attempted to isolate such effects by extending the postabsorptive period sufficient to fully initiate those mechanisms (a complete 24-hour cycle). That study examined insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp rather than examining the response to ingested nutrients or the effect on components of energy balance, revealing that 24-hour fasting for three consecutive days each week reduced fat mass, blood lipids, and fasted insulin more effectively than either continuous energy restriction or fasting without energy restriction in women with overweight (21). The present study provides insight using a comprehensive experimental design that contrasts strict alternate-day fasting both with and without weight loss relative to a continuous energy restriction control, thus isolating the independent and combined effects of fasting and dietary energy restriction on measures of body composition, components of energy balance, postprandial markers of metabolic health, and subcutaneous adipose tissue gene expression [for full protocol, see (22)]. This focus on prolonged fasting but with controlled compensatory refeeding therefore addresses fundamental questions about whether this popular form of intermittent fasting improves body composition and metabolic health independent of energy balance and weight loss. We hypothesized that intermittent fasting would result in compensatory inhibition of energy expenditure but improve metabolic health markers irrespective of reductions in adiposity.

RESULTS

Body composition

Alternate-day fasting was no more or less effective than a matched degree of daily energy restriction in reducing body mass. However, whereas daily energy restriction (75:75) elicited weight loss almost entirely by reducing body fat mass, weight loss via alternate-day fasting (0:150) was due in equal measure to reductions in both fat and fat-free mass (Table 1 and Fig. 1A). These body composition data correspond to pre-post group differences (group × time interaction, P < 0.0001) in means ± SD percent body fat of −1.8 ± 0.9% when restricting energy daily (75:75; P < 0.0001) but only −0.6 ± 1.1% or 0.1 ± 0.9% when alternate-day fasting with (0:150) or without (0:200) matched energy restriction, respectively (P = 0.10 and P = 0.69). However, this pattern was not apparent for visceral fat mass, which was reduced by a similar extent irrespective of whether energy restriction was applied continuously or intermittently (time, P = 0.003; group × time interaction, P = 0.30; Table 1).

Components of energy balance

The energy ingested in the form of each dietary macronutrient is presented in Fig. 1B, as reported during the baseline monitoring phase and then each intervention. These changes in energy intake from baseline were different between groups, consistent with the prescribed experimental model (group × time interaction, P < 0.0001). Furthermore, the group who were asked to fast but without reducing their net energy intake (0:200) appear to have managed to do so accurately, thus facilitating the intended analysis of fasting independent of energy restriction in relation to potential compensatory responses on the other side of the energy balance equation.

The various components of energy expenditure (resting metabolic rate, diet-induced thermogenesis, and physical activity thermogenesis) are illustrated in Fig. 1C and show group differences for total energy expenditure (group × time interaction, P = 0.004) due to a decrease from baseline only when alternate-day fasting was combined with energy restriction (0:150; P = 0.002), with post hoc analysis revealing a specific difference in this response relative to the group who fasted without net energy restriction (0:200; P < 0.003). Figure 1C shows that this pattern for total energy expenditure (kilocalories per day) is partly attributable to a slight but variable reduction in resting metabolic rate [time, P = 0.18; group × time interaction, P = 0.19; time effects with no differences between groups were apparent for basal/fasted rates (grams per minute) of carbohydrate and lipid oxidation, P = 0.02 and P = 0.01, respectively; see data file S1] and partly due to a consistent but predictable fall in diet-induced thermogenesis based on reported macronutrient intake (group × time interaction, P = 0.004). Accordingly, the reductions in diet-induced thermogenesis with energy restriction applied either daily (75:75; P < 0.0001) or every other day (0:150; P = 0.0002) were necessarily proportionate to the prescribed reduction in energy intake.

Figure 1D presents data for postprandial substrate oxidation after the standardized breakfast consumed before and after the intervention; the total amount of energy expended during the 3-hour postprandial period was systematically reduced by a similarly small amount (7 ± 16 kcal over 180 min) in all groups (time, P = 0.02), with no differences between groups (group × time interaction, P = 0.36). However, whereas the overall rate of substrate oxidation was not affected by fasting alone or in combination with weight loss, the rate of lipid oxidation (grams per minute) over that period did increase from pre- to postintervention in the two fasting groups (0:150 and 0:200) relative to the daily energy restriction group (75:75; group × time interaction, P = 0.047).

In terms of physical activity thermogenesis, we observed divergent behavioral responses to fasting and energy restriction (group × time interaction, P = 0.04; Fig. 1E), albeit without any post hoc differences observed between pairwise group contrasts. Specifically, restricting energy intake via alternate-day fasting (0:150) resulted in a compensatory reduction in physical activity thermogenesis, primarily due to reduced spontaneous light- and moderate-intensity movements, whereas no such reductions in activity were apparent during daily energy restriction (75:75) or alternate-day fasting without energy restriction (0:200). Figure 1F shows an exploratory analysis of how the overall reduction (~100 kcal day⁻¹) in physical activity
energy expenditure when fasting with net energy restriction (0:150) was predominantly due to lower activity during fasting periods (especially when they occurred in the second half of the day), although there was also evidence of reduced activity during fed periods (especially when they occurred during the first part of the day).

### Postprandial metabolism

None of the dietary interventions differentially affected fasted concentrations or postprandial responses of plasma glucose, insulin, nonesterified fatty acids, glycerol, total cholesterol, or the fractions of either high- or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (Table 2 and Figs. 2 and 3). Although fasted triacylglycerol concentrations did not respond differently between treatments, the total area under curve did exhibit pre-post group differences reflecting an increase when energy was restricted daily (75:75; Table 2). In contrast to these clear effects on leptin, neither energy restriction nor fasting exerted any influence on the plasma concentrations of adiponectin under overnight fasted conditions (Table 2) or on the acute response of acylated ghrelin and peptide YY to the two sequential mixed-meal tolerance tests (Fig. 3, C and D). There were no differences in fasted or postprandial plasma C-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen cross-links (CTX) concentrations throughout the laboratory visits before and after intervention (Fig. 3B) or any group differences in bone mineral density (Table 1).

Overall, of the various genes preselected on the basis of their involvement in biological rhythms and metabolic responses to feeding, the relative changes in mRNA from pre- to postintervention did not indicate a clear response either within or between groups (Fig. 4). This was evident both in the general absence of significant group × time interactions and by the fact that the fold changes observed in the raw data were similar in absolute terms between groups (see data file S1). Nonetheless, it should be noted that significant group × time interactions (P ≤ 0.05) were apparent for
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**Table 1. Body composition responses before and after intervention.** Data are means ± SD, Δ change (95% confidence intervals) for within-group responses and P values for between-group differences in response. Within-group responses where the confidence interval does not include zero are in bold text; between group P values are independent t tests, adjusted for multiple comparisons. ER, energy restriction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Daily ER (75:75)</th>
<th>Alternate-day fasting with net ER (0:150)</th>
<th>Alternate-day fasting without net ER (0:200)</th>
<th>75:75 versus 0:150</th>
<th>0:150 versus 0:200</th>
<th>75:75 versus 0:200</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>72.1 ± 10.2</td>
<td>−1.91 (−1.29 to −2.54)</td>
<td>72.3 ± 8.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body mass index (kg m⁻²)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.6 (−0.5 to −0.8)</td>
<td>24.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fat mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.75 (−1.25 to −2.25)</td>
<td>15.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fat mass index (kg m⁻²)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.59 (−0.42 to −0.76)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent body fat (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1.81 (−1.25 to −2.37)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Waist circumference (cm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−2.4 (−1.3 to −3.6)</td>
<td>83.2 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visceral fat mass (g)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−31 (−12 to −51)</td>
<td>356 ± 95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fat-free mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.03 (0.40 to −0.47)</td>
<td>55.4 ± 9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lean soft tissue mass (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.03 (0.40 to −0.45)</td>
<td>52.6 ± 9.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone mineral content (g)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>−8.5 (30.8 to −47.8)</td>
<td>2747 ± 453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone mineral density (g cm⁻³)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant group × time (pre-post) interactions at P ≤ 0.01. †Significant group × time (pre-post) interactions at P ≤ 0.0001.
C/EBPβ (CCAAT/enhancer binding protein β), IRS2 (insulin receptor substrate 2), PER1 (period circadian regulator 1), and PPARγC1A (peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor γ coactivator 1α), of which post hoc analysis indicated significant within group responses for the latter two in the daily energy restriction group ($P \leq 0.05; 75:75$).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study indicates that alternate-day fasting is less effective for reducing body fat content than a matched degree of energy restriction applied daily without fasting in lean healthy adults. This difference in fat loss despite a standardized reduction in energy intake may be at least partly explained by the compensatory decrease in energy expenditure within the group who restricted energy intake via fasting (primarily due to inhibition of physical activity thermogenesis), although this effect did not differ significantly from the other groups who did not on average reduce their physical activity. However, other than an adaptive reduction in fasted concentrations of plasma leptin proportionate to changes in fat mass, there were no meaningful effects of fasting or energy restriction (independently or combined) on systemic markers of cardiometabolic health or gut hormone responses to sequential meals. There were also no clear fasting-specific patterns in the expression of key genes in subcutaneous adipose tissue.
It has been a matter of ongoing debate whether intermittent as opposed to continuous energy restriction either preserves lean mass during weight loss (23, 24) or favors greater muscle protein breakdown and net catabolism (8, 25). Although changes in fat-free mass were not significantly different between groups in the current study, our data certainly do not support any relative preservation of lean mass when fasting but rather are more consistent with the possibility that complete fasting for a prolonged period every other day (0:150) is not conducive to the retention of lean mass under conditions of net energy deficit. That interpretation would be in agreement with recent trials that have reported reductions in fat-free mass even with modified alternate-day fasting that does not require such extended

Table 2. Blood plasma responses before and after intervention. Data are means ± SD and Δ change (95% confidence intervals) for within-group responses and P values for between-group differences in response. Within-group responses where the confidence interval does not include zero are in bold text; between group P values are independent t tests, adjusted for multiple comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Daily ER (75:75)</th>
<th>Alternate-day fasting with net ER (0:150)</th>
<th>Alternate-day fasting without net ER (0:200)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>ΔPost</td>
<td>Pre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.27 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.01 (−0.13 to 0.15)</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC meal1 (mmol liter⁻¹⋅180 min)</td>
<td>136 ± 103</td>
<td>26.8 (−29.9 to 83.4)</td>
<td>106 ± 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC meal2 (mmol liter⁻¹⋅120 min)</td>
<td>197 ± 65</td>
<td>−1.8 (26.7 to −30.2)</td>
<td>196 ± 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>20.6 ± 8.2</td>
<td>−3.5 (1.0 to −7.9)</td>
<td>19.0 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC meal1 (mmol liter⁻¹⋅180 min)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>1.0 (−3.2 to 5.2)</td>
<td>17.9 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iAUC meal2 (mmol liter⁻¹⋅120 min)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 5.4</td>
<td>−0.1 (3.1 to −3.3)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA2-IR²</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.18</td>
<td>−0.08 (0.02 to −0.17)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA³ (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.34</td>
<td>−0.02 (0.08 to −0.13)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (nmol liter⁻¹⋅330 min)</td>
<td>49.7 ± 21.1</td>
<td>−3.8 (5.0 to −12.7)</td>
<td>39.7 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (µmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>58.0 ± 32.9</td>
<td>−0.39 (18.5 to −19.3)</td>
<td>40.6 ± 29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (µmol liter⁻¹⋅330 min)</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>1.7 (−0.8 to 4.2)</td>
<td>10.7 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triacylglycerol (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.32</td>
<td>−0.04 (0.13 to −0.21)</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (mmol liter⁻¹⋅330 min)</td>
<td>236 ± 109</td>
<td>38.5 (−3.1 to 80.2)</td>
<td>384 ± 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.97</td>
<td>−0.28 (−0.09 to −0.48)</td>
<td>4.74 ± 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.43</td>
<td>−0.07 (0.03 to −0.18)</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.93</td>
<td>−0.24 (−0.07 to −0.41)</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (µg liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.3 ± 6.0</td>
<td>−3.8 (−1.5 to −6.1)</td>
<td>10.5 ± 13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (mg liter⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 2.6</td>
<td>−0.7 (−0.3 to −1.2)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IAUC, incremental area under the curve from concentrations before each meal (i.e., breakfast and lunch); AUC, total area under the curve across both meals.  **HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance 2 (65).  ***NEFA, nonesterified fatty acids.  ****HDL/LDL, high-/low-density lipoprotein.  ††Significant group × time (pre-post) interactions at P ≤ 0.05.
periods without food (25% of energy requirements allowed on fasting
days), albeit also no differently from daily energy restriction in these
studies (20, 26). In addition, one recent study has even reported that
diet-induced reductions in fat-free mass can be offset by only slightly
increasing the daily fasting period from 18 to 20 hours (27). It there-
fore appears that losses of fat-free mass are possible even with less
protracted periods of limited nutrient delivery than implemented in
the present study, and so, further research is needed to examine the
potential for a more sustained catabolic state to result in atrophy of
lean soft tissue mass or to improve anabolic sensitivity (16).

Although lean soft tissue mass includes components such as
water, glycogen, and the nonlipid component of adipose tissue (the
latter being automatically reduced by fat loss) (28), prescan fluid and
food intake were carefully standardized in this experiment, and any
remaining effect on the lean portion of adipose tissue would be
relatively minor. A recent trial reported that late time-restricted
feeding (fasting 2000 to 1200 h daily) reduced appendicular fat-
free mass in particular, which probably reflects losses specific to
skeletal muscle (29). It therefore remains a possibility that at least
some of the individuals who were restricting energy intake via
alternate-day fasting in the present study were experiencing a reduc-
tion in skeletal muscle mass, which might erroneously be interpreted
as successful dieting if using common weighing scales. Losing meta-
bolically active fat-free mass (especially skeletal muscle) is generally
an undesirable outcome for physical function, cardiometabolic
health, and sustaining an energy deficit with minimal adaptation in
metabolic requirement. Instead, intermittent fasting is generally
adopted by individuals hoping to achieve weight loss in terms of
reducing body fat content, and yet, the present study clearly illustrates
that continuous daily energy restriction is more effective in achieving
that outcome. It is notable, however, that visceral fat mass responded
similarly to intermittent versus continuous energy restriction, as
that particular compartment of adipose tissue is ordinarily highly
correlated both with cardiometabolic risk and with the subcutaneous
fat depot that did respond so differently to the interventions in this
study (30).

In terms of metabolic rate, it is noteworthy that there was not a
larger or more consistent difference between groups either under
basal/fasted conditions or in response to breakfast, especially given
that fasting and energy restriction differentially affected changes
in both body mass and composition, which automatically dictate
metabolic requirements. This finding persists irrespective of whether
the rates of metabolism and substrate oxidation are expressed in
absolute terms (kilocalories per day and grams per minute) or rela-
tive to either body mass or fat-free-mass; any effect of fasting or
energy restriction in terms of adaptive thermogenesis is therefore
likely to be small and not markedly different between groups.

Beyond the above relatively trivial responses of resting metabolic
rate and diet-induced thermogenesis, a major focus of this experi-
ment and an important potential contributor to the decrement in
total daily energy expenditure is the compensatory behavioral re-
response. The energy expended via physical activity is the most vari-
able and malleable component of energy expenditure and thus has
the greatest potential to undermine an imposed energy restriction.
It is therefore surprising that no past research had objectively
quantified the response of this variable specific to alternate-day
fasting. Several past studies have monitored aspects of physical
activity during other forms of intermittent fasting, but even these
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Fig. 2. Plasma metabolite concentrations in response to breakfast and lunch before and after the following interventions involving 25% daily energy restriction
diet (75:75), alternate-day fasting with 50% refeeding for a net 25% energy restriction diet (0:150), or alternate-day fasting with 100% refeeding for a net 0%
energy restriction diet (0:200). (A) Glucose. (B) Nonesterified fatty acids (NEFA). (C) Triacylglycerol. (D) Glycerol. Data are means and SEM (all conditions, n = 12).
few studies were designed to focus on isolating metabolic responses and so tended to advise participants not to consciously alter their physical activity during the interventions (20, 21, 31, 32). However, structured exercise is only one aspect of physical activity, and subconscious behavioral adaptations in nonexercise activity thermogenesis are an important compensatory response to fasting.

In the present study, we objectively quantified all aspects of exercise and nonexercise physical activity thermogenesis under free-living conditions in which participants were given to understand that, far from consciously avoiding unplanned behavior changes, they should behave naturally. Our observation of reduced physical activity when restricting energy intake via alternate-day fasting but without any such reductions when energy restriction or fasting was applied separately is inconsistent with a recent trial that found activity energy expenditure to be very stable during 4 weeks of alternate-day fasting relative to a nonintervention control group (thus not matched for energy restriction) (18) or after 2 weeks of early time-restricted feeding (16). However, behavioral compensation has been noted in past trials involving weight loss or daily caloric restriction but without fasting (33). In terms of isolating the effect of fasting per se on physical activity, two previous investigations into daily breakfast omission in lean and obese adults corroborate the current findings given that light- and moderate-intensity physical activities were also lower with extended fasting in those studies, particularly during the morning period when participants were postabsorptive (34, 35). Here, we used the same tool to objectively measure physical activity thermogenesis, and so, it is similarly possible to explore the temporal pattern of behavioral compensation specific to fasted and fed periods.

To consider what might explain the apparent differences in physical activity, the responses to the intervention cannot be explained simply by reactive changes in the times participants rose in the morning or went to bed at night depending on whether they were fasting, because these times remained within 6 min of the times recorded at baseline. Equally, the energy expended directly preparing (or not preparing) food is unlikely to account for overall changes in physical activity because the overall energetic contribution of cooking activities is minimal (36). It is also conceivable that systematic bradycardia or tachycardia may respectively explain the lower energy expenditure with fasting or the higher energy expenditure when refeeding (particularly considering the increase in physical activity specific to fed periods in the group who avoided energy restriction). This is also unlikely, however, because extreme (even maximal) overeating only increases resting heart rate by ~6 beats min\(^{-1}\) in the immediate postprandial period (37) and by ~2 beats min\(^{-1}\) after a week of energy surplus (38). Recent work has also now reported anticipatory behavioral modification even outside the period of dietary intervention, which cannot, therefore, be attributed to changes in heart rate during the fast (39). Moreover, the changes in physical activity reported here were all primarily due to light- and moderate-intensity movements, for which the branched model equations used to calculate energy expenditure are weighted heavily toward accelerometry unless heart rate is increased markedly above rest.

It therefore seems reasonable to conclude that behavioral compensation in low- to moderate-intensity physical activity is responsible for the divergent responses of physical activity thermogenesis between groups in this study, consistent with the hypothesis that
subconscious engagement in spontaneous movements is reduced by the interaction of alternate-day fasting with weight loss but not by fasting per se. This may, therefore, be a direct influence of low nutrient availability due to fasting, although our results indicate that not all the reduction in physical activity occurred during fasting periods (with some reduction in energy expenditure during fed periods, especially when they occurred during the first part of the day). The latter may indicate an anticipatory reduction in physical activity behaviors in advance of the impending dietary restriction (39). Future research is therefore warranted to examine both whether there is any carry-over effect from the overfeeding period that preceded both afternoon fasting and morning feeding and also whether early- or late-time restricted feeding may differ in their potential to elicit this behavioral response.

Understanding the effects of intermittent fasting on postprandial metabolic control has recently been identified as a research priority (1, 9). Postprandial measures provide a better indication of disease risk than basal/fasted blood samples (40, 41) and simultaneously provide a more valid reflection of the fed state that generally predominate for the majority of each 24-hour cycle (2, 3). The few studies to have begun examining meal responses to intermittent fasting diets have identified clear benefits in terms of reducing postprandial glycemia (42), insulinemia (15), and triglyceridemia (43), although without any improvement in whole-body insulin sensitivity whether assessed via hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (21) or oral glucose tolerance test (44). Most recently, however, early time-restricted feeding was shown to improve postprandial skeletal muscle glucose and branched-chain amino acid uptake in healthy men independent of weight loss (16). In view of these recent findings, it is notable that fasted and postprandial metabolites were generally unresponsive to energy restriction in the present study, particularly in light of the postprandial substrate oxidation data, which indicated that the fasting interventions increased postprandial lipid oxidation.

One possible explanation for the absence of response in relation to systemic indices of cardiometabolic health in this study is that an intervention longer than 3 weeks may be required to elicit meaningful changes in these outcomes, although it has been argued that such responses are usually evident within 2 to 4 weeks (4). That latter interpretation would certainly be consistent with recent studies of intermittent fasting that show effects on insulin sensitivity and glycemic control within 1 to 5 weeks (15, 42). A more likely reason why markers of cardiometabolic health were not improved by fasting or weight loss in the present study may be that participants were not overweight at baseline. Specifically, positive effects of energy restriction on metabolic profile may be secondary to clearance of ectopic lipids (45), which may not yet have accumulated substantially in the ostensibly healthy individuals tested here. Further research should therefore repeat this experiment in an overweight or obese population.

Leptin is secreted from adipose tissue in proportion to fat mass (46). It is therefore understandable that the varied decrements in fat mass observed in the two energy restriction trials in this study (75:75 and 0:150) were mirrored by group differences in the pre-post response of fasted concentration of plasma leptin. This pattern is consistent with the physiological role of leptin as part of a negative feedback loop to sustain endogenous energy reserves in the face of sustained negative energy balance (47), thus validating the established relationship between leptin and fat mass irrespective of whether weight loss is elicited by continuous energy restriction or intermittent fasting. It might therefore be speculated that the greater fat loss in the daily energy restriction group (75:75) might lower satiety more so than the alternate-day fasting groups to minimize further reductions in fat mass or even restore the lost energy reserves. When considering this effect on leptin alongside the absence of group differences in acylated ghrelin and peptide YY, it appears that energy restriction can elicit an adaptive neuroendocrine response via the basal concentration of tonic (longer-term) satiety hormones such as leptin but without compensation in the acute concentrations of episodic appetite hormones to daily meals, although leptin may act synergistically to potentiate the response of acute hormones (48, 49).

Although not prespecified as an outcome measure in our clinical trial registration (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02498002), an opportunity arose to measure plasma concentrations of CTX as a marker of bone resorption, thus allowing an exploratory analysis of bone turnover with intermittent fasting relative to the bone mineral density data obtained from the dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans in this study. Our observation that neither plasma CTX or bone mineral density differed between treatments may simply reflect that it requires longer than 6 months to reveal measurable changes in bone turnover via DXA, yet even 6 months of alternate-day fasting does not appear to alter bone mineral density or fasted plasma CTX concentrations (50). Nonetheless, CTX rapidly responds to under 4 weeks of intensive exercise with restriction and subsequent replacement of carbohydrate alone (even without net energy restriction) (51). It may therefore be that the additional stimulus of exercise and

Fig. 4. Relative changes in adipose tissue mRNA expression before and after interventions involving 25% daily energy restriction diet (75:75), alternate-day fasting with 50% refeeding for a net 25% energy restriction diet (0:150), or alternate-day fasting with 100% refeeding for a net 0% energy restriction diet (0:200). The color hue and intensity represent the direction and effect size (Cohen’s d), respectively, for the pre- to postintervention change within each group (n = 8/6/4). Genes with a group × time interaction P ≤ 0.05 are in bold; P values are post hoc within group responses. AMPK, 5’ adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase.
restriction of carbohydrate in particular may be required to alter bone metabolism during interventions lasting only a few weeks.

This trial provides a report of gene expression in human adipose tissue within the context of alternate-day fasting. Rather than interpreting the ostensibly response of a few genes in isolation, illustrating such data using a heatmap renders it possible to consider the overall pattern of responses across all the genes that operate in concert. From that perspective, it may be worth noting that fasting with net energy restriction (0:150) indicates consistent down-regulation of almost all genes analyzed, with the few exceptions that were markedly up-regulated all being the same genes that are markedly down-regulated after fasting without net energy restriction (0:200) and also all implicated in inflammation (C/EBPβ, nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT)/visfatin, and tumor necrosis factor–α). These few observations notwithstanding, the overall lack of effects on gene expression in the present study of lean individuals may indicate that fasting and energy restriction for several weeks do not exert any substantial influence at the genetic level in human subcutaneous adipose tissue. This absence of effect may therefore be tissue-specific because skeletal muscle does exhibit altered expression of certain metabolic genes (but not core clock genes) in response to time-restricted feeding (52). It may be that any effects of fasting on adipose tissue gene expression are short-lived and so do not persist after even 1 day of habitual energy intake, which, in the present study, was standardized within each participant 24 hours before follow-up samples to replicate conditions preceding the corresponding baseline samples. Alternatively, a more prolonged or intensive intervention that elicits more profound reductions in fat mass may be necessary before effects on adipose tissue gene expression can be detected.

There are several limitations to our study that arise primarily due to the practical constraints inherent to intensive human intervention trials. Although groups were well matched for physiological characteristics at baseline, the proportion of males and females was not equal between groups (table S1), and the sample size was slightly reduced for adipose tissue gene expression because adipose biopsies were an optional procedure for which few volunteered (although the small/similar absolute fold changes in gene expression indicate that no physiologically meaningful differences have gone undetected simply because of statistical power). Similarly, no participants elected to provide skeletal muscle biopsies; measures of muscle protein synthesis would have been informative in view of the fat-free mass data reported here. In relation to the free-living intervention, it should be acknowledged first that compliance was self-reported (so would have benefited from objective verification via continuous glucose monitoring) (34, 35) and second that additional effects may have become evident had it been feasible to sustain this intervention beyond 3 weeks. The postprandial measures of triacylglycerol and glycerol should also be interpreted with caution because a high degree of variability was apparent in measured values, yet the effects reported here in relation to triacylglycerol total area under curve are consistent with a previous study in which fasting on two consecutive days per week for ~8 weeks reduced postprandial triglyceridemia (43). Last, not all metabolic responses to the second meal had returned to basal levels within the 2-hour postprandial measurement period. It would therefore be interesting to have extended the monitoring period later into the evening and, ideally, to have documented a complete 24-hour diurnal cycle.

The fact that intermittent fasting exerted no greater effect than continuous daily energy restriction on anthropometric, metabolic, and behavioral outcomes in this study may, in part, be a consequence of the alternating daily nature of the fasting periods. Specifically, the transition between fasted and fed states at 1500 h on alternate days may not be conducive to the entrainment of diurnal rhythms within the human circadian timing system; the signaling effects of high or low nutrient availability may then be compromised because metabolic and behavioral food anticipatory activity cannot be aligned to a regular meal pattern in each daylight period (53). It may therefore be that intermittent fasting models such as alternate-day fasting or the 5:2 diet that do not repeat every 24 hours necessarily have less potential to optimize physiological function than models in which fasting is scheduled at the same time each day (such as time-restricted feeding). However, given that human physiology operates to defend against the desired energy deficit that is the objective of dieting, there may still be some value in deliberately misaligning and, thus, impairing that natural protective response via a more erratic fasting schedule, perhaps in overweight or obese individuals for whom there is more excess body fat to lose or preexisting health conditions to rectify.

Although the intermittent fasting regimen used here was inferior for reducing body fat relative to daily energy restriction in terms of our completers-only analysis, participants allocated to the 0:150 group nonetheless appeared to find adoption and adherence reasonably acceptable (or at least tolerable, with only one withdrawal) and did experience significant, albeit more modest reductions in adiposity. Alternate-day fasting may still therefore represent a viable even if suboptimal weight loss option for those who find this pattern relatively easy to adopt and adhere to within their wider lifestyle, perhaps in combination with countermeasures targeting lean tissue mass (such as resistance exercise). Even accepting that alternate-day fasting may not be sufficiently feasible in the specific form described here or may not be justified by the overall risk-benefit analysis, an improved basic science understanding of intermittent fasting can inform related approaches (development of novel ingredients, periodization of particular nutrients or exercise, fasting-mimicking diets) with a view to maximizing potential advantages while minimizing any disadvantages.

In summary, for lean healthy adults, continuous daily energy restriction (traditional diet advice) results in meaningful weight loss that is almost entirely attributable to reduced adipose tissue mass. By contrast, using alternate-day fasting to elicit the same energy deficit can spontaneously inhibit physical activity relative to the usual prediet engagement in such behavior and so less effectively reduces fat mass than continuous daily energy restriction. These are generally undesirable responses linked to poor long-term health outcomes, although no short-term changes in metabolic health were apparent in the lean population reported here. The main practical message for individuals to consider if planning to use alternate-day fasting for the purpose of weight loss or health gain is thus to consciously insert opportunities for physical activity alongside the intermittent fasting regimen to maintain energy expenditure and maximize potential beneficial effects on body composition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design**

We conducted a randomized controlled trial in which 36 lean healthy adults were randomly allocated into three experimental conditions after a 4-week baseline monitoring phase (habitual diet and physical...
activity). One group (n = 12) served as a continuous dietary energy restriction control by being prescribed 75% of their habitual energy intake each day (25% daily energy restriction; 75:75); a second group (n = 12) was prescribed a matched degree of dietary energy restriction but achieved via alternating 24-hour periods of complete fasting and consuming 150% of their habitual energy intake (25% net energy restriction; 0:150); a third group (n = 12) was prescribed a matched pattern of complete fasting every other 24 hours but consuming 200% of their habitual energy intake on fed days (no net dietary energy restriction; 0:200).

Critically, the two fasting groups (0:150 and 0:200) consumed no energy-providing nutrients whatsoever during fasting periods and transitioned from fasted to fed state and vice versa at 1500 h on a daily basis. This time was selected for a number of reasons: It provides a consistent fasting duration of precisely 24 hours, thus sufficient to elicit the desired fasting-mediated mechanisms while also standardized between fasted and fed periods (rather than a more variable fasting duration if dictated by sleep times); our recent work revealed diurnal rhythms in human skeletal muscle transcriptomics and lipidomics that exhibit peak accumulation of relevant gene transcript and lipid metabolites at 1600 h (54, 55), so the metabolic switch between the fed and fasted state would be complete by 1600 h each day (11); effects can be attributed to fasting as opposed to any systematic shift in circadian rhythms because the time-restricted feeding alternates between the first and second part of each day-night cycle; the transition occurs at about the midpoint of each waking period, allowing equally weighted contrasts between the metabolic and behavioral responses in each half of the day; and our pilot testing suggests that participants find it relatively feasible to fast for this duration given that there is no complete waking period without food.

In terms of outcome measures, recent reviews on this topic have highlighted the need for trials investigating the components of energy balance under free-living conditions to understand the distinct compensatory metabolic and behavioral responses to fasting per se as opposed to mere energy restriction (1, 9). In particular, there is an outstanding need to establish the effects of regularly fasting for an extended period on the preservation of fat-free mass (and thus resting metabolic rate during weight loss); compensatory behavioral adjustments in physical activity thermogenesis; and alterations in metabolic control both under fasted conditions and in the fed state. We therefore present data for three primary outcomes, namely changes in body composition, components of energy balance, and postabsorptive/postprandial measures of systemic metabolites and regulatory responses to sequential mixed-meal tolerance tests (breakfast and lunch) applied before and after intervention.

Experimental model and subject details

Sampling and recruitment

This study involved the recruitment of human volunteers and thus obtained a favorable opinion from a National Health Service Research Ethics Committee (reference: 15/SW/0007). Participants were recruited via local advertisement in the South West of the United Kingdom between 2015 and 2018 (trial first advertised 20 May 2015 and first participant enrolled 17 June 2015) to obtain a total sample of 36 lean and purportedly healthy men and women. This sample size is consistent with previous studies in this area that have provided reliable and useful data, so was justified using a priori statistical power estimates based on studies of similar duration to have examined the outcome measures in question. For example, one study (56) observed a decline in resting metabolic rate after 21 days of daily energy restriction (1898 ± 262 kcal day⁻¹ versus 1670 ± 203 kcal day⁻¹), which would therefore have an 80% probability of detection at P ≤ 0.05 with n = 33. In relation to other outcomes in this study, a previous study (57) reported a reduction in 2-hour postprandial plasma glucose concentrations after 10 days of daily energy restriction (10.7 ± 3.6 mmol liter⁻¹ versus 7.1 ± 3.0 mmol liter⁻¹), in which case n = 27 would be required to achieve (1-β) = 0.8 and α = 0.05. Collectively, it was therefore deemed that ~30 participants would be adequate to detect meaningful treatment effects in the present study and recruitment proceeded on a rolling basis until at least 12 had completed each experimental condition given that our primary hypotheses concern the physiological responses of actually fasting, so a completers-only analysis is appropriate.

To be eligible upon volunteering, participants had to first be classified as lean based on body mass index (BMI; 20.5 to 24.9 kg m⁻²), which was subsequently confirmed upon their first laboratory visit using sex-specific fat mass index obtained from a DXA scan. Values of ≤7.5 and ≤11.0 kg m⁻² were classified as lean for males and females, respectively. Further inclusion criteria for this study were that participants must be aged 18 to 65 years, weight stable (±3 kg) for prior 6 months, able and willing to comply with study procedures, willing to undertake required fasting durations, and have the capacity to provide informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: body mass of >120 kg; engagement in fasting practices within 3 months of start date; recent or planned change in diet/physical activity habits; evidence of disordered eating as assessed using the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) 6.0 (58); diagnosis with diabetes or other metabolic health disturbances; ongoing medical condition or treatment that may interfere with study variables; menopausal; pregnant, recently pregnant, or planning to become pregnant (within 3 months) or currently breastfeeding; having donated blood within the past 3 months; lack of capacity/language skills to independently follow the protocol; unable to consume test meals due to intolerances/dietary preferences (vegan, gluten, and milk proteins); or any other behavior or condition that introduces bias to the experiment or poses undue personal risk. Baseline characteristics of the 36 individuals who completed the study are reported in table S1. A total of 97 individuals were formally screened for eligibility, of whom 42 met the inclusion criteria and were consented to complete the first laboratory visit. During the 4-week baseline monitoring control phase, three individuals withdrew from the trial (citing illness, work, or relocation), and two more were excluded from the trial for data outside the inclusion criteria (not weight stable at baseline and implausibly low energy intake). After randomization, only one participant withdrew from the study (0:150 group), citing difficulty fasting. Hence, the final sample size of completers was n = 36 (see supplementary participant flow diagram; fig. S1).

Experimental design

A randomized controlled trial (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT02498002; trial registered 15 July 2015) with an independent-measures, parallel group design was adopted to contrast the effects of intermittent fasting with and without weight loss relative to standard daily energy restriction. Specifically, all volunteers completed a 4-week baseline monitoring phase during which they consumed and recorded their habitual diet without consciously modifying any aspect of their lifestyle. One week later, participants were randomly assigned to a 4-week dietary intervention using a blocked randomization scheme
with a 1:1:1 allocation and stratified according to fat-mass index and physical activity level (PAL), thus ensuring a relatively even distribution of more (PAL ≥1.75) and less (PAL <1.75) active participants in each group. This randomization scheme, including block sizes and sequences, was generated by an individual who was not involved in participant recruitment or aware of participant identification codes, with details of the allocation sequence concealed from all who were involved with participant recruitment and code assignment to minimize any risk of bias. Participants completed a preintervention laboratory visit, after which they received their group assignment and adhered to the prescribed dietary intervention for 20 days (with monitoring of free-living diet and physical activity during), before a postintervention laboratory visit to follow-up preintervention measures. The three experimental conditions were as follows: daily energy restriction (75% of habitual diet every 24 hours; 75;75); alternate-day fasting with net energy restriction (alternating 0 and 150% of habitual diet every other 24 hours; 0;150); alternate-day fasting without net energy restriction (alternating 0 and 200% of habitual diet every other 24 hours; 0;200).

**Protocol**

After the provision of written informed consent, eligibility was initially assessed using a series of self-report questionnaires together with a BMI calculation. Eligible participants then undertook the 8-week protocol shown in fig. S2. For all laboratory sessions, participants abstained from caffeine, alcohol, smoking, and strenuous exercise throughout the preceding 24 hours and also standardized their dietary intake on a within-participant basis. After an overnight fast (minimum of 10 hours), participants reported to the laboratory at 0730 hours (±1 hour) having consumed 500 ml of water upon waking. For female participants, laboratory sessions were scheduled to coincide with the follicular phase of their menstrual cycle (3 to 10 days after onset of menses).

**Baseline laboratory visit 1**

This visit provided a reference point for examining the stability of body mass, as an indicator of overall energy balance, throughout the ensuing 4-week control/monitoring phase in which habitual dietary intake and physical activity were quantified. In addition, this visit served to familiarize participants with key procedures to improve reliability over subsequent laboratory sessions. A urine sample was collected when voiding before measurements of height and body mass to ensure adequate hydration for these measurements (urine specific gravity, <1.020; osmolality, <900 mosm). After a 20-min rest in a semirecumbent position, resting metabolic rate and substrate oxidation were then measured via indirect calorimetry of expired gas samples, after which a fasted blood sample was drawn. To conclude this session, a submaximal treadmill protocol was undertaken to individually calibrate the physical activity monitors being used throughout the study (Actiheart, Cambridge Neurotechnology). Before departing, participants were given the materials to capture free-living measurements of dietary intake and physical activity.

**Control/monitoring phase**

During this phase, both energy intake and physical activity energy expenditure were measured concurrently in four designated monitoring windows of three consecutive days each. Each of these windows was separated by at least 2 days from any other, and the final window covered the 3 days leading up to the second laboratory visit to ensure compliance with standardization procedures. Physical activity energy expenditure and intensity were measured using individually calibrated Actiheart monitors and energy intake using a weighed record of food and fluid intake. Energy balance was verified by maintaining a stable body weight (≤1.0 kg increase or decrease) between the first and second laboratory visits.

**Preintervention laboratory visit 2**

Participants returned to the laboratory after the control/monitoring phase for measurement of a series of fasted and postprandial outcomes. Adequate hydration status was again ascertained via urinary biomarkers upon arrival before measuring body mass. Fasting measurements of resting metabolic rate and substrate oxidation were then obtained before an intravenous cannula was fitted to an antecubital vein. At this stage, an initial venous blood sample was drawn to provide fasted concentrations of relevant metabolites and hormones. A small sample of adipose tissue was obtained from volunteers who opted-in to that procedure (in which case, a repeated venous blood sample was taken afterward to serve as the baseline for the meal response tests). Participants then completed the first of two sequential mixed-macronutrient meal tests: a homogenous porridge meal (meal 1; breakfast) and a meal-replacement shake (meal 2; lunch). The morning postbreakfast period involved the collection of expired gases for indirect calorimetry along with venous blood samples, whereas the afternoon postlunch period only involved blood sampling.

**Intervention phase**

After preintervention laboratory visit 2, a 6-day washout period occurred before commencing the interventions: This was performed both to avoid prolonged periods of lifestyle monitoring and to maintain an interval of 4 weeks between pre- and postintervention tests. During fasting cycles, participants were only permitted water, herbal teas, and black tea/coffee with no sugar (unsweetened energy-free drinks). During feeding cycles and throughout the daily energy restriction intervention, participants were prescribed the same meals as they reported habitually consuming during their baseline monitoring phase but with quantities proportionately modified to provide 75, 150, or 200% of their habitual energy intake. Energy intake and physical activity were also monitored over the first and last 6 days of the intervention period, the former to quantify compliance and the latter to examine behavioral compensation in energy expenditure.

**Postintervention laboratory visit 3**

After the completion of 20 consecutive 24-hour dietary cycles plus one washout day of replicating the standardized diet and activity from before the preintervention visit, participants returned to the laboratory and repeated the protocol outlined earlier for laboratory visit 2.

**Outcome measures**

**Body composition.** Postvoid body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a sliding balance scale (Weylux 424), and height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca Stadiometer). Body composition was assessed using a DXA scan (QDR Discovery W, Hologic) conducted in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Before each exposure, a quality control procedure was executed in which a spine phantom with known radiographic attenuation properties was scanned to ensure adequate performance. This was accompanied by a background radiographic uniformity test at regular intervals in which a whole-body scan was completed, whereas the scanning table was empty to ensure proper functioning and monitor changes in background radiation levels. All DXA scans were obtained at the end of data collection sessions to provide greater control over hydration status and tissue glycogen content. Before scans, participants voided, wore the same lightweight clothing, and removed shoes along with any heavy items and jewelry.
**Dietary intake.** Participants were provided with a set of compact kitchen scales (Pocket Pro 2000, Smart Weigh) and a logbook with which all food and drink items were recorded. A member of the research team discussed best practice with participants and emphasized the level of detail required. Weighed records were analyzed (Nutritics version 5.031) to determine energy and macronutrient intake. Free-living diet-induced thermogenesis was then estimated on the basis of these self-reported food diaries based on the established constants for the thermogenic effect of each macronutrient ingested (59).

**Physical activity.** Physical activity was measured using Actiheart monitors (Cambridge Neurotechnology). These monitors were individually calibrated using a treadmill protocol involving four 3-min stages of incremental treadmill locomotion with concurrent measurements of heart rate and energy expenditure (indirect calorimetry of expired gas samples) to yield a heart rate–physical activity intensity regression equation upon which estimates were based. The times at which participants rose in the morning and went to bed at night were determined on the basis of visual inspection of individual daily physical activity traces to identify when physical movements commenced and ceased at the beginning and end of each waking phase (this analysis was completed by an individual blinded to treatment allocation).

**Meal tests.** Two successive meal tests were completed before and after intervention. Both meals were prescribed to provide one-third of resting metabolic rate, as measured before intervention. Meal 1 was a homogeneous porridge meal (1.31 kcal g⁻¹; 59% carbohydrate, 29% fat, and 12% protein) composed of golden syrup–flavored instant oats (Sainsbury’s), whole milk (Tesco), and white granulated sugar (Silver Spoon). This was cooked in a microwave and cooled for 10 min before being consumed in its entirety within a 10-min eating opportunity after a premeal blood draw. Meal 2 took the form of a liquid meal replacement supplement (1.50 kcal ml⁻¹; 54% carbohydrate, 30% fat, and 16% protein) (Ensure Plus, Abbott Nutrition). This was consumed after a premeal arterialized venous blood draw within a 5-min feeding window commencing 3.5 hours after the consumption of meal 1.

**Indirect calorimetry.** Resting metabolic rate and substrate oxidation were measured using indirect calorimetry of expired gas samples (60). In each instance, three consecutive 5-min samples were taken in accordance with best practice guidelines (61), with the values from two or more samples that agree to within 100 kcal day⁻¹ taken as an arithmetic average.

**Blood sampling and analysis.** At the pre- and postintervention visits all blood samples were procured by means of an intravenous cannula. To permit the sampling of arterialized venous blood, for 10 min before arterialized venous sampling intervals, participants were asked to place the hand of their cannulated arm into a heated-air box (University of Vermont), the internal environment of which was held steady at 55°C. Samples were drawn and dispensed into an EDTA-coated tube for processing before the cannula was flushed with 0.9% saline to keep it patent. Analysis of plasma samples for concentrations of metabolites was performed using an automated analyzer (RX Daytona, Randox Laboratories) and commercially available reagents (Randox Laboratories). Plasma insulin and leptin concentrations were determined using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) (Mercodia). For the analysis of acylated ghrelin, 1 ml of EDTA-treated whole blood was treated with 50 μl of a p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid solution (prepared as 100 mM concentrate solution in potassium phosphate buffer containing 1.2% 10 M NaOH). Samples treated in this way were analyzed for acylated ghrelin using commercially available ELISAs (Merck Millipore). Total peptide YY was analyzed in plasma samples using commercially available ELISAs (Merck Millipore). Plasma CTX concentrations were analyzed using commercially available ELISAs (Immunodiagnostics Systems). For all assays, where concentrations fell below the limit of detection for the assay, values were supplanted by the limit of detection, as specified by the assay manufacturer.

**Adipose tissue sampling and analysis.** Subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsies were performed under local anesthesia [1% lidocaine (lignocaine)] from the area around the waist about 5 cm lateral to the umbilicus using a 14-gauge needle using an aspiration technique with follow-up biopsies sampled from the opposite side. The sample was cleaned with isotonic saline, and any clot was manually removed. After weighing the sample, it was homogenized in 5 ml of TRIzol (Invitrogen) and placed on dry ice before being stored at −80°C. Subsequently, samples were defrosted and vortexed, before 0.1 ml of 1-bromo-3-chloropropane/1 ml of TRIzol was added. After shaking the mixture vigorously for 30 s, samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was removed and mixed with 0.5 ml of ice-cold isopropanol/1 ml of TRIzol and stored overnight at −20°C to precipitate RNA. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was discarded. The remaining pellet was washed in 1 ml of 75% ethanol/1 ml of TRIzol and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min. Supernatant was removed once more, and the pellet was air-dried for 10 min before being suspended in 30 μl of ribonuclease (RNase)–free water. Each sample was quantified by spectrophotometry using a NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with 5 ng of total RNA reverse-transcribed using a high-capacity complementary DNA (cDNA) reverse transcription kit (SuperScript III, Invitrogen). A 100-μl reaction mix comprising 200 ng of cDNA, 50 μl of Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), and RNase-free water was used for each sample. The following assays, obtained from Applied Biosystems, were run on a real-time polymerase chain reaction system (7900HT, Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s guidance: Circadian Locomotor Output Cycles Kaput (CLOCK) (Hs00231857_m1), Cryochrome Circadian Regulator 1 (CRY1) (Hs00172734_m1), neuronal PAS domain protein 2 (NPAS2) (Hs00231212_m1), PER1 (Hs00242988_m1), adenosine 3’,5’-monophosphate response element–binding protein 1 (Hs00231713_m1), apelin (APLN) (Hs00175572_m1), C/EBPα (Hs00269972_s1), C/EBPβ (Hs00942496_s1), leptin (Hs00174877_m1), AKT2 (Hs00609846_m1), IRS1 (Hs00178563_m1), IRS2 (Hs00275843_s1), phosphoinositide-3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 (PIK3R1) (Hs00381459_m1), insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1) (Hs00609566_m1), sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) (Hs01099006_m1), solute carrier family 2 member 4/glucose transporter type 4 (Slc2a4/GLUT4) (Hs00168896_m1), fatty acid synthase (FASN) (Hs00188012_m1), MLX-interacting protein-like/carbohydrate responsive element binding protein (MLXIP/ChREBP) (Hs00630272_m1), NAMPT/visfatin (Hs00237184_m1), sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1/sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP1c/SREBF1) (Hs01088691_m1), pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (PDK4) (Hs01037712_m1), acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (ACACA) (Hs01046047_m1), acyl-CoA dehydrogenase medium chain (ACADM) (Hs00936580_m1), angiopoietin
quantification using \( \Delta \Delta C_t \) and then to each participant’s own baseline \( \Delta C_t \) using appropriate post hoc tests to identify the location of variance, main effects of treatment or interaction effects were followed up even \( P \leq 0.05 \) (64). Statistical significance was accepted at \( P \leq 0.05 \), and all data are reported as means ± SDs and SEs in tables and figures, respectively, with \( \Delta \) change scores (with 95% confidence intervals).

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM). As specified a priori in our published protocol (22), primary contrasts were examined using a two-way group \( \times \) time mixed-model analysis of variance (ANOVA), with experimental condition (group) as a between-subjects factor and either pre-post visit or control/monitoring-intervention phase (time) as a within-subjects factor. Where the time course of postprandial responses were quantified before and after intervention, a three-way ANOVA was used to include time point in the model (group \( \times \) time \( \times \) time point). Wherever there were multiple contrasts for such time series data, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was adopted for \( \epsilon < 0.75 \) and the Huynh-Feldt correction adopted for less severe asphericity. This parametric approach was applied irrespective of the distribution of data given that the type I error rate of the model is typically close to the nominal value \( (P \leq 0.05) \) even when data are non-normally distributed (63), p. 109. Any relevant main effects of treatment or interaction effects were followed up using appropriate post hoc tests to identify the location of variance, with a Ryan-Holm-Bonferroni stepwise correction to adjust the resulting \( P \) values for multiple comparisons and thus avoid inflation of the type 1 error rate (64). Statistical significance was accepted at \( P \leq 0.05 \), and all data are reported as means ± SDs and SEs in tables and figures, respectively, with \( \Delta \) change scores (with 95% confidence intervals).
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Intermittent fasting is increasingly popular, but whether fasting itself offers specific nutritional benefits in lean individuals compared to traditional daily calorie restriction is unknown. In a small clinical trial of healthy individuals, Templeman et al. found that alternate-day fasting without energy restriction was ineffective at reducing body mass. Even with net energy intake restricted to that of daily dieters, alternate-day fasting less effectively reduced body fat content and offered no additional short-term improvements in metabolic or cardiovascular health compared to daily energy restriction.
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