Conserved Shifts in the Gut Microbiota Due to Gastric Bypass Reduce Host Weight and Adiposity
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Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) results in rapid weight loss, reduced adiposity, and improved glucose metabolism. These effects are not simply attributable to decreased caloric intake or absorption, but the mechanisms linking rearrangement of the gastrointestinal tract to these metabolic outcomes are largely unknown. Studies in humans and rats have shown that RYGB restructures the gut microbiota, prompting the hypothesis that some of the effects of RYGB are caused by altered host-microbial interactions. To test this hypothesis, we used a mouse model of RYGB that recapitulates many of the metabolic outcomes in humans. 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing of murine fecal samples collected after RYGB surgery, sham surgery, or sham surgery coupled to caloric restriction revealed that alterations to the gut microbiota after RYGB are conserved among humans, rats, and mice, resulting in a rapid and sustained increase in the relative abundance of Gammaproteobacteria (Escherichia) and Verrucomicrobia (Akkermansia). These changes were independent of weight change and caloric restriction, were detectable throughout the length of the gastrointestinal tract, and were most evident in the distal gut, downstream of the surgical manipulation site. Transfer of the gut microbiota from RYGB-treated mice to nonoperated, germ-free mice resulted in weight loss and decreased fat mass in the recipient animals relative to recipients of microbiota induced by sham surgery, potentially due to altered microbial production of short-chain fatty acids. These findings provide the first empirical support for the claim that changes in the gut microbiota contribute to reduced host weight and adiposity after RYGB surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is a highly effective treatment for severe obesity and type 2 diabetes, characterized by a marked and sustained loss of ~65 to 75% of excess body weight and fat mass (1). Initially thought to be a mechanical procedure causing restriction and calorie malabsorption, recent evidence suggests that RYGB alters the basic physiology of energy balance and metabolism in weight-dependent and weight-independent ways (2). These changes include marked improvement in glucose homeostasis before weight loss (3), increased secretion of gut hormones (4, 5), and alterations in energy expenditure (6–8); however, the mechanisms that drive these outcomes remain incompletely understood. It is likely that anatomical rearrangement of the gastrointestinal tract alters the composition of the luminal milieu and its interactions with the intestinal epithelium, which in turn affects downstream signaling pathways regulating host energy balance and metabolism (9). A particular luminal factor, however, has yet to be identified.

Of the several potential luminal components known to mediate energy balance after gastric bypass surgery, including dietary nutrients, biliolepanic secretions, and the gut microbiota, we focused on the potential contribution of the microbiota to RYGB outcomes, particularly due to its increasingly recognized role in regulating energy balance and metabolic function. The gut microbiota has been reported to differ in community structure, gene content, and metabolic network organization between obese and lean individuals (10–13). Diets differing in fat and sugar content can also affect this structure (14–17), favoring the growth of bacteria whose secreted factors or structural components contribute to the development of adiposity, insulin resistance, and other metabolic derangements (18, 19). Furthermore, colonization of germ-free mice with a gut microbiota from conventionally raised mice has provided functional evidence that the gut microbiota can modulate host phenotype by decreasing food intake and increasing adiposity (10, 20). These phenotypes are further enhanced in germ-free animals colonized with the cecal microbiota from obese donors (10, 17). Because the gut microbiota influences some of the same metabolic parameters as RYGB, we hypothesized that changes to the gut microbiota after RYGB may contribute to some of the metabolic benefits of this procedure.

Recently, RYGB has been reported to cause marked shifts in fecal microbial profiles in both humans and rats (9, 21, 22), but it remains unclear to what degree these changes are caused by alterations in the gastrointestinal tract, by the surgical process itself, or by decreased weight and/or caloric intake induced by this procedure. Furthermore, it is unknown how rapidly these changes in microbial ecology occur, how stable they are over time within a given individual, and if they are limited to the distal gut (for example, feces). The microbial taxonomic groups that are enriched after RYGB have been associated with decreased adiposity and leptin levels in humans (21) and with changes in fecal and urinary metabolites in rats (9). To date, however, there has been no empirical data to support the hypothesis that these RYGB-altered microbial communities have a direct effect on improved metabolic outcomes.

To address these questions, we turned to a recently developed murine model of RYGB (23, 24) to (i) demonstrate that changes in the gut microbiota after gastric bypass surgery are conserved among humans,
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rats, and mice; (ii) demonstrate that the underlying cause of much of the microbial response to surgery is due to the reconfiguration of the gastrointestinal tract; (iii) characterize the temporal and spatial changes in the gut microbiota after this operation; and (iv) through transplantation of the RYGB-associated gut microbiota into germ-free mice, show that this altered gut microbiota is sufficient to trigger decreased host weight and adiposity (see Fig. 1, A to C, for experimental design).

RESULTS
The effect of RYGB on adiposity in the mouse model
Diet-induced obese (DIO) C57BL/6J mice fed a high-fat diet (HFD) commonly develop obesity-related metabolic derangements, including glucose intolerance, hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and insulin resistance (25). Within 3 weeks after RYGB, male C57BL/6J mice lost 29 ± 1.9% of their initial body weight and remained at this lower weight throughout the study period. In contrast, ad libitum–fed sham-operated (SHAM) animals regained their body weight within 2 to 3 weeks after surgery (Fig. 2A; 32.8 ± 0.5 g versus 42.2 ± 2.1 g after 5 weeks; P = 0.007, Student’s t test). Weight-matched sham-operated (WMS) animals were fed ~25% fewer calories to match the weight of the RYGB animals. As we have seen previously (23, 24), the decreased body weight after RYGB reflected a preferential loss of fat mass and a preservation of lean mass compared to sham controls (Fig. 2B). Epididymal and retroperitoneal fat pad weight, the degree of hepatic steatosis, and liver triglyceride content were all reduced in both RYGB and WMS animals relative to SHAM animals (Fig. 2, C to E). Food intake was not different between RYGB and SHAM controls (Fig. 2F); however, taking into account the greater energy lost in the feces of RYGB animals (Fig. 2G), the net energy intake in RYGB animals was intermediate to that of SHAM and WMS controls (Fig. 2H and table S1). This finding indicates that the weight loss in response to RYGB is due both to a decrease in net energy intake and to an increase in total energy expenditure. Increased energy expenditure after RYGB has been previously demonstrated in both rat and mouse models (6–8).

RYGB animals maintained on HFD exhibited improved plasma glucose and insulin levels at 15 weeks after surgery, compared to SHAM animals, mirroring the metabolic phenotype of normal chow (NC)–fed lean mice (table S2). Although weight loss by food restriction yielded similar improvements in fasting plasma glucose and insulin levels, greater improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity were seen in RYGB versus WMS animals (fig. S1), supporting human evidence that RYGB has weight-independent effects on glucose metabolism (26).
RYGB rapidly alters the distal gut microbiota

To determine how RYGB affects the distal gut microbiota, we performed 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequencing on fecal samples collected before and weekly for 3 months after intervention in the RYGB, SHAM, and WMS groups (Fig. 1A). Overall, we analyzed 166 samples from 23 animals (109,015 ± 5842 16S rRNA gene sequences per sample; table S3).

RYGB markedly altered the composition of the distal gut microbiota as early as 1 week after surgery, a change that progressed over time and stabilized after 5 weeks (Fig. 3 and fig. S2). The sham procedure also affected the fecal microbial communities but to a substantially lesser extent than RYGB; furthermore, the differences in microbial ecology between the SHAM and WMS groups were minimal (Fig. 3 and fig. S2). These observations suggest that rearrangement of the gastrointestinal tract by RYGB had a substantially greater effect on the fecal microbiota than either food restriction-mediated weight loss or the limited intestinal disruption caused by the sham procedure.

Additionally, we analyzed fecal samples at a single time point from unoperated DIO, unoperated lean NC-fed mice, and RYGB-operated mice maintained on NC (NC-RYGB). As expected, the abundance of species-level operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in samples from DIO and lean animals was significantly different (Fig. 3A; Spearman correlation range was 0.58 to 0.84 and 0.16 to 0.24 for within-group versus between-group comparisons, respectively; \( P < 10^{-7} \), Student’s \( t \) test). However, the effect of RYGB on the gut microbiota was similar regardless of whether mice were fed NC or HFD (Fig. 3), suggesting that the impact of surgery can overwhelm even the well-recognized effect of dietary composition on the gut microbiota [14].

Weight loss after dietary restriction or RYGB was accompanied by similar changes in the abundance of taxonomic groups within the Firmicutes phylum as a proportion of the total sequences observed in the phylum. Compared to preoperative levels, both the RYGB and WMS microbiota were dominated by the order Clostridiales (78.9 ± 2.7% and 72.9 ± 2.7% of Firmicutes 16S rRNA gene sequences, respectively), whereas the SHAM microbiota had a significantly greater abundance of the orders Lactobacillales and Erysipelotrichales [42.5 ± 4.0% (SHAM), 27.1 ± 3.8% (WMS), and 21.1 ± 2.7% (RYGB); \( P < 0.01 \), Student’s \( t \) test] compared to preoperative levels that was more marked than that observed after either SHAM or WMS treatment (3-fold, \( P < 0.01 \), SHAM versus WMS and SHAM versus RYGB, Student’s \( t \) test; Fig. 4A and table S4). In contrast, the relative abundance of Bacteroidales remained stable when comparing pre- and postoperative abundances across all treatment groups over time (Fig. 4A).

RYGB induced several specific changes in the gut microbial ecology including an increased abundance in the Enterobacteriales within the first 2 weeks after surgery; there was no change in the Enterobacteriales in either the SHAM or WMS groups (Fig. 4A and fig. S3A). There was also a significantly greater increase in Verrucomicrobiales within the first 2 weeks after RYGB (10,000-fold on average; \( P < 0.05 \), Student’s \( t \) test) compared to preoperative levels that was more marked than that observed after either SHAM or WMS treatment (3-fold, \( P < 0.05 \) for both; Fig. 4A). Using the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) [27] method, we identified more specific bacterial taxa and species-level phylotypes whose relative abundance varied significantly among fecal samples taken from the RYGB, SHAM, and WMS treatment groups. This analysis revealed 50 discriminative features (LDA score >2; Fig. 4B and table S4). RYGB microbiotas were enriched for three distinct taxonomic groups, evident from phylum level—Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia, and Proteobacteria—to genus
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To determine how gastric bypass affects the spatial structure of the gut microbiota, we sampled the luminal and mucosal adherent microbiotal communities at eight sites spanning the stomach, small intestine, cecum, and colon of mice 15 weeks after RYGB, SHAM, or WMS treatments (n = 3 to 6 samples per site per treatment; 207 samples; 84,758 ± 3873 sequences per sample; Fig. 1B and table S5). Comparisons of mi-
mice had significantly lower pH and higher fat content than fecal samples from SHAM and WMS mice. In contrast, fecal nitrogen content was lower in both RYGB and WMS samples relative to SHAM. Furthermore, pH within the distal stomach was significantly increased in RYGB mice (3.8 ± 0.6; n = 8) relative to SHAM mice (1.9 ± 0.7; n = 5; P < 0.05, Mann-Whitney test), potentially due to the loss of direct stimulation of acid secretion by food. These changes underscore the presence of an altered luminal milieu after RYGB that could influence gut microbial ecology (14, 30).

**Decreased host adiposity is transmissible through the microbiota**

Given that previous studies have shown that host adiposity and glucose homeostasis can be affected by the gut microbiota (20, 31), we
sought to determine whether any of the metabolic changes associated with RYGB could be directly influenced by RYGB-induced modulation of the gut microbiota. We tested this possibility by inoculating lean, germ-free mice with cecal contents from RYGB donors (RYGB recipient or RYGB-R mice) and comparing basal phenotypic outcomes to those of uninoculated germ-free mice and germ-free mice inoculated with cecal contents from SHAM or WMS donors (SHAM-R and WMS-R animals, respectively; Fig. 1C). During a 2-week colonization period, RYGB-R animals exhibited a significant decrease in body weight (−5.0 ± 1.8%; P < 0.05, one-sample t test), whereas both SHAM-R and germ-free control animals exhibited no significant weight change (0.2 ± 1.1% and 2.5 ± 1.4%, respectively; Fig. 6, A and B). Food intake in SHAM-R animals was significantly decreased compared to germ-free controls, similar to what has been seen previously [P < 0.01, SHAM-R versus germ-free, Student’s t test (20)], whereas food intake in RYGB-R animals was not affected (P = 0.39, RYGB-R versus germ-free, Student’s t test; Fig. 6C). A similar trend toward increased food intake in RYGB-R mice relative to SHAM-R mice (Fig. 6C) was also seen relative to WMS-R mice (Fig. S5A). Both SHAM-R and WMS-R animals exhibited a similar increase in overall adiposity (Fig. 6D and fig. S5B). Fat pad weight did not increase in the RYGB-R animals relative to the uninoculated germ-free controls (Fig. 6D). Liver weights were

Fig. 4. Bacterial taxonomic groups that discriminate among RYGB-, SHAM-, and WMS-derived samples. (A) Average relative abundance of bacterial orders in RYGB, SHAM, and WMS mice before and up to 12 weeks after surgery. (B) LEfSe-derived (27) phylogenetic tree depicting nodes within the bacterial taxonomic hierarchy that are significantly enriched in fecal samples from RYGB (red), SHAM (green), and WMS (blue) mice. Significant phyla are labeled, with the genera in parentheses. LEfSe was used with the default parameters (n = 5000 sequences per sample; OTUs with <10 sequences and preoperation samples removed).

Fig. 5. Relative abundance of bacterial taxa throughout the gastrointestinal tract of RYGB, SHAM, and WMS mice. (A) Spatial effects of gastric bypass. The first principal coordinate from an unweighted UniFrac-based analysis is shown for luminal and mucosal samples taken from the stomach, small intestine, cecum, and colon. Values represent mean ± SEM. DS, distal stomach; GP, gastric pouch; BP, biliopancreatic limb. (B) The dominant bacterial orders are shown for samples from the stomach, small intestine, cecum, and colon. Mean values are shown for samples isolated from the lumen (blue squares) and mucosa (red squares).
no different among groups, but there was a trended increase in liver triglyceride levels in the SHAM-R animals (Table 1).

Although marked changes in serum glucose, insulin, and triglycerides in lean recipient mice fed a standard chow diet were not expected, there was a trend toward improved insulin sensitivity, estimated by homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), and significantly reduced fasting triglyceride levels in RYGB-R mice relative to SHAM-R mice (Table 1). Glucose tolerance and insulin tolerance in the recipients were not measured.

In an attempt to find potential microbiota-derived signals that could be directing the loss of body weight and adiposity in recipient animals, we examined both ileal fasting-induced adiposity factor (FIAF) gene expression and cecal short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) composition.

Colonization with either SHAM-R or RYGB-R microbiota into germ-free animals caused a similar decrease in ileal FIAF expression (Fig. S7), consistent with previously published results (20). Cecal SCFAs, however, differed among the germ-free, RYGB-R, and SHAM-R groups (Fig. 7, C and D). Microbial colonization of germ-free mice increased SCFA production, as indicated by the increase in cecal SCFA levels, with SHAM-R animals producing significantly more SCFAs relative to germ-free animals ($P < 0.05$, Student’s $t$ test) and RYGB-R animals producing an intermediate quantity of cecal SCFAs (Fig. 7C). This difference is not as apparent in the operated donor groups (Fig. 7A); however, the relative proportion of acetate, propionate, and butyrate levels were maintained in both donor and recipient animals. The acetate/propionate/butyrate ratios in SHAM and WMS animals were

**Fig. 6.** Decreased weight and adiposity is transmissible via the gut microbiota. (A) Body weight curves for SHAM-R ($n = 6$) and RYGB-R ($n = 10$) mice, represented as change from initial body weight. (B) Change in body weights (BW) among the groups relative to baseline. (C) Cumulative food intake over the 13-day colonization period. (D and E) Visceral fat pad weights (D) and plasma leptin levels (E) in the RYGB-R ($n = 10$), uninoculated germ-free ($n = 7$), and consolidated SHAM-R ($n = 10$) groups. Values in (A) to (E) are means ± SEM. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ANOVA post hoc test. Representative of three experiments. (F) Relative abundance of bacterial taxa in recipient animals after gavage with cecal contents from RYGB, SHAM, and WMS donors. Mean values across each time point (1 to 13 days after gavage) are shown ($n = 3$ to 15 samples per time point; 10,000 sequences per sample).
SCFA levels are consistent between donor and recipient animals. (Fig. 7).

Liver triglyceride (mg/g liver) 22.9 ± 4.2 A 36.2 ± 9.1A 21.6 ± 6.0A
Liver weight (% body weight) 4.9 ± 0.10 A 4.8 ± 0.20A 4.8 ± 0.18A
Serum NEFA (meQ/liter) 0.58 ± 0.06 A 0.65 ± 0.06A 0.62 ± 0.05A
Serum triglyceride (mg/dl) 77.8 ± 9.7 A 113 ± 8.5B 73.5 ± 16.8A
Insulin (ng/ml) 0.46 ± 0.10A 0.99 ± 0.19A 0.73 ± 0.19A
Blood glucose (mg/dl) 148 ± 5.5 A 147 ± 7.4A 120 ± 3.9B

sent means ± SEM. Data annotated by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05, ANOVA).

Table 1. Fasting blood parameters of germ-free (GF) recipient animals receiving cecal contents from RYGB-R, SHAM-R, and GF mice. Values represent means ± SEM. Data annotated by different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05, ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RYGB-R</th>
<th>SHAM-R</th>
<th>GF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>148 ± 5.5A</td>
<td>147 ± 7.4A</td>
<td>120 ± 3.9B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.10A</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.19A</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.19A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.98A</td>
<td>9.8 ± 4.1A</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum triglyceride (mg/dl)</td>
<td>77.8 ± 9.7A</td>
<td>113 ± 8.5B</td>
<td>73.5 ± 16.8A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum NEFA (meQ/liter)</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.06A</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.06A</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.05A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight (% body weight)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.10A</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.20A</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.18A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver triglyceride (mg/g liver)</td>
<td>22.9 ± 4.2A</td>
<td>36.2 ± 9.1A</td>
<td>21.6 ± 6.0A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. SCFA levels are consistent between donor and recipient animals. (A and B) Total cecal SCFAs (A) and percentage of total SCFAs (B) of acetate, propionate, and butyrate in RYGB-operated (n = 6), SHAM-operated (n = 4), and WMS-operated (n = 5) animals. (C) Total cecal SCFAs of RYGB-R (n = 5), SHAM-R (n = 6), and germ-free (GF; n = 4) mice 2 weeks after colonization of their respective operated donor cecal microbiota. (D) Percentage of total SCFA of acetate, propionate, and butyrate in RYGB-R and SHAM-R mice. Values represent means ± SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.001, one-way ANOVA post hoc Tukey test or Student’s t test.

DISCUSSION

Rodent models of RYGB assist in identifying potential mechanisms of weight loss, fat loss, and metabolic improvements in ways that would otherwise be limited in clinical studies. Here, we show that changes in microbial ecology after RYGB are due to gastrointestinal reconfiguration and not merely due to the associated changes in weight loss, diet, or intestinal transection. Furthermore, we demonstrate that this altered microbiota is sufficient to trigger a reduction in host body weight and adiposity.

Our study was designed to minimize potential interindividual variation by assessing within-individual changes in gut microbial ecology before and after surgery. Marked changes in this ecology were observed within 1 week after RYGB, with a pronounced increase in the abundance of the Verrucomicrobia (genus Akkermansia) and Gammaproteobacteria (order: Enterobacteriales). These changes were similar to those observed in the fecal microbiota of human patients (21, 22) and in non-obese, NC-fed rats (9) that had undergone RYGB, and were observed in RYGB mice fed either a high-fat or standard chow diet. Several studies have demonstrated the role of dietary composition in modulating microbial ecology and diversity (14–16, 32); however, it is clear that RYGB produces unique selective pressures to modulate community structure that is not merely a reversion to a community seen in the lean, NC-fed state (14, 17). Together, many aspects of the microbial response to gastric bypass surgery are conserved among humans, rats, and mice, despite significant differences in the specific microbial strains and species found within their gastrointestinal tracts (13, 33).

Given the increase in Gammaproteobacteria in humans (21, 22), rats (9), and now our mouse model of RYGB, we reasoned that this population could be a key contributor to regulating host metabolic outcomes after surgery. Escherichia, the genus most highly enriched after RYGB in the mouse, includes several pathogenic strains associated with metabolic syndrome, obesity, and insulin resistance (19, 34) as well as nonpathogenic commensal...
species that have been used as probiotic agents to prevent gastrointestinal inflammatory conditions (35). It is possible that the specific Escherichia population enriched after RYGB may have some beneficial role in driving host metabolic improvements after surgery.

The Verrucomicrobium Akkermansia was also substantially increased in RYGB mice and was maintained after transfer to germ-free recipients. Given this observation, it is possible that Akkermansia may have a substantial role in regulating host adiposity and weight loss. Akkermansia can use mucus as a sole source of carbon and nitrogen in times of health and particularly in times of caloric restriction (36). This observation likely explains why Akkermansia is selectively increased in the mucus layer of WMS mice. The physiological relevance of the overall increase in Akkermansia throughout the RYGB-altered gastrointestinal tract, and how this may differ from the site-specific increases seen in WMS animals, remains to be determined. Additional studies are needed to elucidate whether increased foraging on host mucins or altered inflammation and insulin sensitivity in response to Akkermansia (37, 38) contribute to the metabolic outcomes after RYGB. In addition, we cannot discount the potential interactions among Enterobacteriaceae, Akkermansia, and other enriched bacterial groups (such as Alitipus) in the RYGB model and how they may work independently or interdependently to influence host metabolic improvements.

Microbial community structure is likely altered after RYGB through rerouting of nutrients and biliopancreatic secretions. Although we did see changes in fecal fat and pH profiles in the RYGB animal, these changes would be expected to promote the growth of Firmicutes (17, 30) rather than the observed decrease in Firmicutes after surgery. Therefore, the precise factors responsible for modulating microbial communities have yet to be defined.

Phenotypic characterization of germ-free recipient mice receiving a microbiota transplant from RYGB-operated donors highlights the potential functional and metabolic contributions of the RYGB microbiota. We found that RYGB-R animals had reduced body weight and decreased fat deposition compared to SHAM-R and uninoculated germ-free controls. WMS-R animals shared similar body weight and adiposity phenotypes as the SHAM-R animals (fig. S5), likely due to the similar cecal microbial profiles between the WMS and SHAM donors (fig. S3), suggesting that the effects of the RYGB microbiota on germ-free recipients are unique to this particular microbial profile. This was a surprising observation because inoculation of the entire complex microbial communities (14, 19, 20) or specific microorganisms (39) from either lean or obese mice has previously been shown to cause an increase in epididymal fat pad weight and overall adiposity in the face of decreased food intake (10, 20). Although the degree of weight loss by the RYGB microbiota into lean recipient mice is not as profound as the effect of RYGB in obese mice (5% versus 29% weight loss, respectively), these findings are consistent with the hypothesis that alterations in the gut microbiota after RYGB at least partially modulate body weight and adiposity.

A decrease in adiposity and body weight without a change in food intake suggests that the RYGB-associated microbiota may either reduce the ability to harvest energy from the diet or produce signals regulating energy expenditure and/or lipid metabolism. Previous studies had identified ileal FIAF as a microbiota-influenced mechanism promoting lipid uptake into adipose tissue (20, 31). Colonization of germ-free recipients with either a RYGB or SHAM microbiota resulted in equally reduced ileal FIAF expression despite the differences in fat pad weight, suggesting that the effect of the RYGB microbiota on metabolic outcomes is independent of FIAF.

SCFAs are by-products of microbial fermentation that affect host physiology in several ways, including serving as a primary energy source for colonocytes, substrates for lipid storage in adipose tissue, immune cell modulators, and molecules regulating lipid and glucose metabolism and appetite drives via G protein (heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide–binding protein)–coupled receptor (GPR41 and GPR43) activation and signaling (40, 41). Increased adiposity has been demonstrated in previously germ-free recipients of gut microbiota contents, likely due to increased availability of SCFAs (10, 17). Indeed, an increased production of SCFAs in SHAM-R animals relative to RYGB-R and germ-free animals may explain the differences in adiposity among the groups. However, these observations do not explain the physiological differences among the RYGB, SHAM, and WMS groups, which do not exhibit significant differences in total cecal SCFA content.

It is possible that the different SCFAs affect host metabolic physiology in different ways. Both RYGB and RYGB-R animals had relatively greater propionate and lower acetate production than the WMS, SHAM, and SHAM-R groups, likely a consequence of the different microbial profiles seen in the RYGB and RYGB-R animals (tables S3 and S7) (42). The decreased adiposity in RYGB-R animals relative to SHAM-R animals could be due to the reduced level of acetate available for lipogenesis by adipose tissue and peripheral tissues (43). Furthermore, propionate is known to inhibit acetate conversion into lipid in the liver (44) and adipose tissue (45), and may contribute to the lowered adiposity and serum triglyceride levels and a trend toward decreased hepatic triglyceride content. Propionate is also thought to inhibit food intake through GPR41- and GPR43-induced secretion of satiety-regulating gastrointestinal hormones (46), although this is less likely given the lack of change in food intake in the RYGB-R animals.

Given the well-established effect of RYGB to increase energy expenditure (7, 8), we cannot discount the possibility that a reduction in body weight without a change in food intake is due to altered microbial signaling that up-regulates host energy expenditure. Male mice lacking GPR41 have reduced energy expenditure and increased adiposity (47), suggesting that SCFAs regulate energy expenditure via GPR41. Among the known SCFAs, propionate has the highest affinity for GPR41, and its administration has been shown to increase sympathetic activity, resulting in elevated energy expenditure (48). Therefore, the increase in energy expenditure after RYGB could be mediated, in part, through enhanced propionate activation of the GPR41 pathway. Another potential mechanism is differential microbial modification of bile acids, which are also signaling molecules that can influence energy expenditure (49). Additional studies are necessary to further clarify the specific contributions of these various microbially influenced metabolites on changes in host energy balance and metabolism after RYGB.

It is clear from studies in our laboratory and others that RYGB improves glucose metabolism in animals and in people (50). However, the degree to which the RYGB-altered microbiota mediates those improvements when administered to germ-free mice remains uncertain. In these experiments, recipient mice were lean and maintained on NC. Although there were no changes in fasting glucose levels between RYGB-R and SHAM-R mice, the RYGB-R group exhibited a trend toward lower fasting insulin levels than either SHAM-R or germ-free mice. It is possible that, in this model system, the effect of the microbial community on host glucose metabolism is diet-dependent. Therefore, future microbiota transplantation studies in germ-free recipients fed a HFD are warranted.
In summary, these studies have given us preliminary insights to the potential contributions of the RYGB-associated gut microbiota, and particularly SCFAs, in regulating host physiology, energy balance, and metabolism (fig. S8). Future studies incorporating surgery, metagenomics, gnotobiotics, and genetic deletions for key metabolic signaling pathways promise to expand our understanding of the gut microbiota in shaping host energy balance and the metabolic response to surgical intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Male C57BL/6J DIO mice were purchased at 22 to 26 weeks of age (Jackson Laboratories) and maintained on a 60% HFD (Research Diets, D12492) until they reached a preoperative weight of 40 to 50 g. All surgically operated animals were individually housed in cages with wire floor throughout the study period. Male, age-matched (7 to 10 weeks old), germ-free Swiss Webster mice were obtained from Taconic. All animal studies were performed under protocols approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees.

Surgery, postoperative care, and diet

The surgical approach for RYGB mice is a modified version of that previously described (8, 23), the only difference being that the glandular and nonglandular portions of the stomach were double-sutured and transected to form the distal stomach and gastric pouch, respectively (Fig. 1B). With this approach, we achieved less than 20% mortality over the course of the experiment. Sham animals were treated in a manner similar to the RYGB animals, with a single transection just distal to the ligament of Treitz followed by reanastomosis to restore the preoperative intestinal anatomy.

Operated animals did not receive postoperative antibiotics or anti-inflammatory drugs, but were given buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg, intramuscularly) for pain. Animals were maintained on a liquid diet (Vital HN, Abbott Laboratories) for 2 weeks until weaned back onto solid HFD. Body weights were monitored weekly. Three weeks after surgery, a group of weight-stabilized SHAM animals was randomly chosen and maintained on a restricted diet of about 75% of the calories consumed daily by RYGB-treated mice so as to match the weight of the RYGB animals (WMS). With constant adjustment of the daily food intake of these animals, weight matching with the RYGB group was stabilized by 6 weeks after surgery (3 weeks after start of food restriction).

Food intake and fecal analysis

A subgroup of animals was housed singly on wire floors, and food in the hopper and food spilled were weighed every 3 to 4 days. All fecal pellets were collected, weighed, and submitted to the University of Arkansas Central Analytical Laboratory (http://www.uark.edu/ua/cal/) for measurements of fecal calorie, crude fat, and protein (nitrogen) and pH. The net intake for each component (energy, fat, or protein) was calculated by subtracting the energy expelled in the stool from the total amount consumed during a 1-week period.

Fecal sampling, processing, and analysis

Fecal samples were collected weekly and stored at −80°C until processing. DNA was extracted with the PowerSoil bacterial DNA extraction kit (MO-BIO) and PCR-amplified with universal bacterial primers targeting variable region 4 of the 16S rRNA gene as described previously (31). Amplicons were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq platform (32). Multivariable statistical analysis was used to compare microbial composition among the treatment groups. 16S rRNA gene sequences were analyzed with the QIME [Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (53)] software package along with custom Perl scripts to analyze α (within-sample) and β (between-sample) diversity. The LEfSe package was used to identify taxonomic groups significantly associated with each treatment (27). Spearman rank correlations were calculated based on the number of sequences assigned to abundant species-level phyotypes in each fecal microbiota (phyotypes with >100 sequences across a combined data set were included; 10,000 randomly subsampled sequences were included per sample).

Tissue harvest and intestinal axis sampling

Mice were sacrificed between 12 and 15 weeks after surgery. Before sacrifice, animals were fasted for 2 hours and blood glucose was measured from the tail tip with a handheld glucometer (AlphaTRAK, Abbott Laboratories). The animals were then anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg, intraperitoneally) and euthanized by cardiac exsanguination. Plasma was isolated and stored at −80°C. The following intestinal sections were collected for bacterial DNA analysis: gastric pouch, distal stomach remnant, biliopancreatic limb, Roux limb, common limb, ileum, cecum, and colon. Sections representative of the intestinal segments comprising each limb were also collected in SHAM animals (Fig. 1B). Each segment was flushed with extraction buffer (200 mM NaCl, 200 mM tris, 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) to retrieve luminal bacterial contents. Each section was then cut longitudinally, and the mucosa was scraped off with slides for assessment of mucosal adherent bacterial populations. All contents and mucosal adherent samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until DNA extraction. In a separate group of animals, pH was measured in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract with a micro-pH electrode (Thermo Scientific). Epididymal and retroperitoneal fat pads were collected and weighed as a biomarker for visceral adiposity. Whole-body lean and fat masses were determined by time-domain nuclear magnetic resonance (Bruker TD Minispec).

Germ-free mouse experiments

Cecal contents from a donor animal representing each group (RYGB, WMS, and SHAM) were saved in reduced anaerobic phosphate-buffered saline and homogenized within an anaerobic chamber. The resultant slurry was administered by oral gavage to germ-free mice (five to six animals per group). Animals were housed one to two per cage on wire floors and fed autoclaved rodent breeder chow. Uninoculated germ-free mice were used as controls. Body weight and cumulative food intake were measured and recorded weekly. Fecal pellets were collected at days 1, 2, 3, 7, and 13 days after gavage. On day 13, food was withheld from animals overnight. The next morning, fasting blood glucose was measured in blood collected from the tail tip. After euthanasia, a terminal blood collection was taken, tissue was harvested, the liver and visceral (retroperitoneal and epididymal) fat pads were weighed, and cecal contents were obtained for SCFA analysis.

Biochemical assays

Plasma insulin was measured with the mouse ultrasensitive insulin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Alpco). Fasting triglyceride
and nonesterified fatty acids (NEFAs) were assayed in serum with kits for these analytes (Wako Chemicals). Liver triglycerides were measured with free glycerol reagent (Sigma) after ethanolic KOH extraction. Plasma leptin was measured by ELISA (Crystal Chem). HOMA-IR, an estimate of insulin resistance, was calculated as follows: [fasting glucose (mg/dl) × fasting insulin (μU/ml)]/405. Cecal SCFA content was determined by gas chromatography.

Gene expression
Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and complementary DNA was produced with the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). TagMan quantitative PCR was performed with primers for FIAF (Mm00480431_m1, Applied Biosystems). Gene expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene β-actin.

Statistical analysis
For in vivo physiology experiments, all data are expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism (v. 5). The threshold of statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \).
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Getting By(pass) with Help from Our Little Friends
One of the most durably effective treatments for severe obesity is gastric bypass surgery. Despite its powerful effect on weight loss and remission of diabetes, the cost and associated risk of this procedure prevents its application to a large population of obese patients, prompting a search for less invasive treatments. The population structure of the trillions of microorganisms that reside in the human gut is markedly altered after gastric bypass, but the functional importance of these changes is unknown. In a new study, Liou et al. use a mouse model of gastric bypass surgery to characterize changes in the gut microbiota, both temporally and along the length of the gastrointestinal tract. Gastric bypass induced substantial, rapid, and sustained changes to the gut microbial communities that were independent of both diet and the weight loss associated with this procedure. The observed changes in this mouse model were similar to those previously observed in human gastric bypass patients. Transfer of the surgically altered microbial community to nonoperated, germ-free mice resulted in weight loss and decreased body fat. Gastric bypass was also associated with changes in the production of short-chain fatty acids, changes that were conveyed to the previously germ-free mice that received the microbiota from these operated animals. These observations demonstrate that specific alterations in the gut microbiota contribute to the beneficial effects of bariatric surgery on energy balance and obesity. They suggest new approaches to the treatment of obesity and related metabolic diseases that harness the ability of the gut microbiota to influence host metabolic physiology.